

The Island Series:


You have been kidnapped by a crazed physics nerd and left on an island with twenty-four hours to solve the following problem. Solve the problem and you get to leave. Don't solve the problem and you don't.

The problem: You are given a **small spotlight**, the **outline of a bat** that can go **over the lamp's face**, **two copper serving platters**, some **wire** and a **car battery**. You find that if you hook the battery to the lamp, it doesn't shine very brightly. You need it to shine brightly, but only for a second (you want to project the bat-signal onto a cloud so Batman will come rescue you). What clever thing might you do to light up the lamp for just a moment?

Solution: Set the two plates close without touching and parallel to one another (they have to be rigidly separated). Hook one lead from the battery to one of the plates and the second lead to the other plate. This will allow the plates to charge up, acting like a capacitor. Disconnect the lead. Hook one lamp lead to one of the plates. When you hook the other lead to the other plate, the cap will discharge very quickly through the lamp, providing a burst of energy that should light it up nicely.

CHAPTER 26: Capacitors

discharging capacitor:



General Review

Electric fields: exist in presence of charge configurations; are *modified force-fields*

Gauss's Law: used to generate electric field functions for symmetric charge configurations

Electrical potentials: voltage fields that exist in the presence of charge configurations; are *modified potential energy functions*; the potential *difference* between two points equals *work-per-unit-charge* available to a secondary charge due to presence of field-producing charge

$$|\vec{F}_{\text{coulomb}}| = k \frac{q_1 q_2}{r^2}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{\mathbf{F}}{q}$$

$$\Phi_E = \int_S \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S}$$

$$\int_S \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q_{\text{encl}}}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Delta U = -\int \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = -\int (q\vec{E}) \cdot d\vec{r}$$

$$\Delta V = -\int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r}$$

$$V_{\text{pt chg}} = -\int k \frac{dq}{r^2}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{dV}{dr}$$


Capacitors

A *physical capacitor* is quite literally two metal, parallel plates sitting next to one another, completely insulated from one another.

A *battery* generates an artificially created *electrical potential difference* between its terminals. The + terminal is at higher voltage (the + terminal is the longer, red line in the sketch). The “voltage” of the battery is the *electrical potential difference* between the terminals.

Connecting a battery across an uncharged capacitor will effect an interesting situation.

Initially, there will be a *voltage difference between* the battery's + terminal and the *capacitor's uncharged green plate*, motivating charge to move between the two plates. If we assume it is *positive charge that is moving* (controversial, but we'll talk about that later), the *green plate will begin to charge up positively*.




As the green (left) plate charges up, two things happen:

Electrostatic repulsion will motivate a like-amount of positive charge off the yellow plate, leaving it electrically negative; and

The voltage build-up on the green plate will diminish the voltage difference between it and the battery's + terminal, and the current will decrease (ultimately to zero once the cap is fully charged).

What we end up with in our charged capacitor is an electrical device that has charge stored on it, that has an electric field between its plates, and that has energy stored in that electric field.

In other words, in an DC (direct current) electrical circuit, capacitors store electrical energy.



Furthermore, the charge Q on ONE PLATE will always be proportional to the magnitude of the voltage difference across the plates, with the proportionality constant being the cap's *capacitance*. Mathematically, then:

$$Q_{\text{on one plate}} = C(\Delta V)_{\text{across plates}}$$

Usually written in truncated form as:

$$Q = CV$$


this also means that the capacitance is defined as:

$$C = \frac{Q}{V}$$

This, in turn, means the *capacitance* of a capacitor is a constant that tells you how much *charge per volt* the capacitor has the capacity to hold.

Its unit of *coulombs per volt* is given a special name—the **farad**.

It's not uncommon to find capacitors in the range of: **millifarad** ($\text{mf} = 10^{-3} \text{ f}$), or **microfarad** (Mf or $\mu\text{f} = 10^{-6} \text{ f}$), or **nanofarad** ($\text{nf} = 10^{-9} \text{ f}$), or **picofarad** ($\text{pf} = 10^{-12} \text{ f}$).



Picky but Important Points

1.) A 1 farad capacitor is a HUGE capacitor. It is much more common to run into capacitors in the:

--millifarad (mf) range: this is 10^{-3} farads

--microfarad (Mf or μf) range: this is 10^{-6} farads

--nanofarad (nf) range: this is 10^{-9} farads


--picofarad (pf) range: this is 10^{-12} farads

2.) When traversing between capacitor plates along the electric field lines, the voltage goes from high to low. That is why the negative sign is needed in $\Delta V = -\vec{E} \cdot \vec{d}$.

But V_{cap} in $Q = CV_{\text{cap}}$ is the POSITIVE voltage-change across the plates, meaning:

$$V_{\text{cap}} = -\Delta V = +\vec{E} \cdot \vec{d}$$


This observation is going to be important shortly!



Example 1 (courtesy of Mr. White)

What is the capacitance of this system, where each conductor has a charge of +/- 3 Coulombs, and a 9-volt potential exists between the two conductors?

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{Q_{\text{on one plate}}}{V_{\text{across plates}}} \\ &= \frac{3 \text{ C}}{9 \text{ V}} \\ &= .33 \text{ farads} \end{aligned}$$




Example 2 (courtesy of Mr. White)

Two conducting plates have a charge of **1.2 mC** on each, with a **6.00-V** potential difference between the two of them. What is the capacitance of this system?


The only thing tricky about this problem is that everything has to be in MKS—electrical potential in *volts* and charge in *coulombs*. Sooo . . .

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{Q_{\text{on one plate}}}{V_{\text{across plates}}} \\ &= \frac{1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ C}}{6 \text{ V}} \\ &= 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ farads} \quad (= .200 \text{ mf or } 200 \mu\text{f}) \end{aligned}$$

Note: Clearly you need to become familiar with the prefixes (and symbols) for **milli**, **micro**, **nano** and **pico**farads.




Demo :Parallel Plate Capacitor



Series Combinations

In a series combination of circuit elements, each element is attached to its neighbor on one side only. What is **common to all series combinations** is **current** (i.e., the **amount of charge that passes through the element per unit time**).



Think back to how uncharged capacitors work in electrical circuits. A battery provides a **voltage difference** across its terminals which generates a voltage difference between its **+ terminal** and the left plate (in the circuit above) of C_1 . As such, **charge begins to accumulate** on that plate **electrostatically repulsing like charge off its right plate**.

In a series combination, the **repulsed charge from the right plate moved to the next capacitor**, depositing itself on that cap's left plate, **electrostatically repulsing like charge off its right plate** . . . which proceeds back to the battery (hence a complete circuit).

What's common in the **series combo of caps**, then, is “*the charge*” **on each cap**.

Capacitors in Series

We know the total **voltage-change** across the **battery**, and hence across the capacitors, is ΔV .
Logic additionally dictates that:

$$\Delta V = \Delta V_{C_1} + \Delta V_{C_2}$$



We know, though, that: $C = \frac{q}{\Delta V} \Rightarrow \Delta V_{C_1} = \frac{q}{C_1}$

If we had a single, *equivalent capacitance* C_{eq} that could take the place of the series combination (i.e., a cap that would draw the same charge q for the same battery voltage ΔV), we could write:

$$\Delta V = \frac{q}{C_{eq}}$$

In other words for a **series combination** of capacitors:

Bottom line: $\frac{1}{C_{eq}} = \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2} + \dots$



$$\Delta V = \Delta V_{C_1} + \Delta V_{C_2}$$

$$\frac{\cancel{q}}{C_{eq}} = \frac{\cancel{q}}{C_1} + \frac{\cancel{q}}{C_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{C_{eq}} = \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2}$$

Capacitors in Parallel

Unlike series combinations, each element in a parallel combination attaches to its neighbors in two places.



What is common in a parallel combination is the voltage drop across each element.

So in the parallel combination of capacitors shown, charge will leave the battery and distribute itself between the two initially uncharged capacitors in such a way that the voltage across each cap is the same. If q_{total} is the total charge drawn from the battery over a period of time:

$$q_{\text{total}} = q_{\text{on } C_1} + q_{\text{on } C_2} = q_{\text{on } C_{\text{eq}}}$$

Using $C = q/\Delta V \Rightarrow q = C\Delta V$ and our equivalent capacitance circuit, we can write:

Bottom line: $C_{\text{eq}} = C_1 + C_2 + \dots$




$$\begin{aligned} q_{\text{total}} &= q_{\text{on } C_1} + q_{\text{on } C_2} \\ C_{\text{eq}} \cancel{\Delta V} &= C_1 \cancel{\Delta V} + C_2 \cancel{\Delta V} \\ \Rightarrow C_{\text{eq}} &= C_1 + C_2 \end{aligned}$$

Example 7: Derive an expression for, then determine the equivalent capacitance of the capacitor combination shown to the right. Assume all the capacitors are $C = 4 \mu\text{f}$.

--This is essentially a **series combination**—three caps in series with a **parallel combination** (remember, what makes a series combo—each element is connected to its neighbor at one place).

--For the three series caps: Technically, we should write:

BIG NOTE: When you have equal-sized caps C in series, the **equivalent capacitance** equals C divided by the **number of caps** in the combination (look at our problem for confirmation!).




$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{C_{\text{eq},1}} &= \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2} + \frac{1}{C_3} = \frac{1}{C} + \frac{1}{C} + \frac{1}{C} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{C_{\text{eq},1}} &= \frac{3}{C} \\ \Rightarrow C_{\text{eq},1} &= \frac{C}{3}\end{aligned}$$

--Redrawing:

--To continue, we need the equivalent capacitance for the parallel combination.

As parallels just add, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}C_{eq,2} &= C_1 + C_2 + C_2 \\&= C + C + C \\&= 3C\end{aligned}$$




--Redrawing:

--For the final series combination:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{C_{eq}} &= \frac{1}{C_{eq,1}} + \frac{1}{C_{eq,2}} \\&= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{C}{3}\right)} + \frac{1}{3C} = \frac{3}{C} + \frac{1}{3C} = \frac{9}{3C} + \frac{1}{3C}\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{C_{eq}} = \frac{10}{3C}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{eq} = \frac{3C}{10} = \frac{3(4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ F})}{10} = 1.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ F}$$




Deriving a Capacitor's Energy Content

Consider a partially charged capacitor. How much work would be required to move a differential bit of charge $+dq$ from the $-$ plate to the $+$ plate?

Noting that the work you do will be minus the work the field does, and writing everything in differential form, we can write:

$$\frac{W_{\text{fld}}}{q} = -\Delta V$$

$$\Rightarrow dW_{\text{you}} = +(dq)V_{\text{cap}}$$



Noting that the definition of capacitance yields:

$$C = \frac{q(\text{on a plate})}{V_{\text{cap}}} \Rightarrow V_{\text{cap}} = \frac{q}{C}$$

We can re-write that first differential equation as:

$$dW_{\text{you}} = +(dq)\Delta V_{\text{cap}} = (dq)\frac{q}{C} = \left(\frac{1}{C}\right)q dq$$


Summing (integrating) to get the total work to assemble all the charge on the plates yields:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int dW_{\text{you}} = \left(\frac{1}{C}\right) \int_{q=0}^q q dq \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{q^2}{C} \stackrel{\text{q} = CV}{=} \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \end{aligned}$$

This is the energy stored in a capacitor.

Deriving a Capacitor's Capacitance Using Physical Parameters

Example 3: Derive an expression for the capacitance of a conducting sphere of radius a . (I've never understood why textbooks think this obscure problem is interesting enough to do, but ours does so I'm covering it.)



The assumption: the sphere is the inner plate of a capacitor whose outer spherical plate is located at infinity (obscure enough for you?). With that:

1.) Start by assuming there is Q 's worth of positive charge on one plate and $-Q$'s worth of charge on the other plate (the one at infinity). (In some cases, you might need to define a charge density, depending upon the geometry).

2.) Derive an expression for the electrical potential difference between the plates. This will often require you to use Gauss's Law between the plates to determine the E -fld function, then use $V_{\text{cap}} = -\Delta V = + \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

3.) Then use the definition of capacitance $C = \frac{(Q_{\text{on one plate}})}{V_{\text{cap}}}$ to determine the capacitance C for the geometry.

Executing all that, we have:

1.) *Assume* Q 's on plates:

2.) *Gauss's Law* to determine E -fld between plates:

$$\int_S \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q_{\text{enclosed}}}{\epsilon_0}$$


$$\Rightarrow |\vec{E}|(4\pi r^2) = \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2}$$

3.) *Derive an expression* for the *electrical potential difference* (V_{cap}) between the plates:

(Or you could have just noticed that V at infinity would be zero, and V at a would be $\frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a}$, and that the difference would just be


$$\frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a}$$



$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{cap}} &= -\Delta V = + \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r} \\ &= \int_{r=a}^{\infty} \left(\frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \hat{r} \right) \cdot (dr \hat{r}) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{r=a}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{r^2} \cos 0^\circ \\ &= \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(-\frac{1}{r} \right) \Big|_{r=a}^{\infty} = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{\infty} \right) - \left(-\frac{1}{a} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a} \end{aligned}$$


4.) *Using the definition of capacitance:*

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{Q_{\text{on one plate}}}{V_{\text{across plates}}} \\ &= \frac{\cancel{Q}}{\left(\frac{\cancel{Q}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a} \right)} \\ &= 4\pi\epsilon_0 a \end{aligned}$$



Learn the approach. You will be using it!

Example 4: Derive an expression for the capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor of plate area A whose distance between its plates is d .



1.) *Assume charges* (in this case, in the form of an area charge densities σ):

2.) *Noting that* all the charge will migrate to the inside surfaces, use a Gaussian plug and *Gauss's Law* to derive an expression for the E -fld between plates.

$$\int_s \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q_{\text{enclosed}}}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\vec{E}| A = \frac{\sigma A}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E} = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0}$$

3.) *Derive an expression* for the electrical potential difference (V_{cap}) between the plates:

$$V_{\text{cap}} = -\Delta V = + \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r}$$

$$= \int_{r=0}^d \left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} \hat{r} \right) \cdot (dr \hat{r}) = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} \int_{r=0}^d dr \cos 0^\circ$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} (r) \Big|_{r=0}^d = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} d$$

Except $\sigma = \frac{Q}{A}$

so

$$V_{\text{cap}} = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} d$$


$$= \frac{\left(\frac{Q}{A}\right)}{\epsilon_0} d = \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0 A} d$$

4.) Using the definition of capacitance:

$$C_{\text{parallel plate cap}} = \frac{\left(\cancel{Q}_{\text{on one plate}}\right)}{\left(\cancel{V}_{\text{across plates}}\right)}$$


$$= \frac{\cancel{Q}}{\left(\frac{\cancel{Q}}{\epsilon_0 A} d\right)}$$

$$= \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d}$$



(This general expression for the capacitance of a parallel plate capacitor is actually something you will be expected to know.)

Example 5: Derive an expression for the capacitance-per-unit-length of a coaxial cable of inside radius a and outside radius b .



1.) *Assume* charges (in this case, a linear charge density λ) on the inside rod:

2.) *Noting that* all the charge will migrate to the inside surfaces, use a Gaussian cylinder of length L and *Gauss's Law* to derive an expression for the *E-fld* between plates.

$$\int_s \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q_{\text{enclosed}}}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\vec{E}|(2\pi rL) = \frac{\lambda L}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r}$$

3.) *Derive an expression* for the *electrical potential difference* (V_{cap}) between the plates:

$$V_{\text{cap}} = -\Delta V = + \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r}$$

$$= \int_{r=a}^b \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r} \hat{r} \right) \cdot (dr \hat{r}) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{r=a}^b \frac{1}{r} dr \cos 0^\circ$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln(r) \Big|_{r=a}^b = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} [\ln(b) - \ln(a)] = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$

Except $\lambda = \frac{Q}{L}$

so

$$V_{\text{cap}} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$


$$= \frac{\left(\frac{Q}{L}\right)}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$

4.) Using the definition of capacitance:

$$C_{\text{parallel plate cap}} = \frac{(Q_{\text{on one plate}})}{(V_{\text{across plates}})}$$

$$= \frac{\cancel{Q}}{\frac{\left(\cancel{Q}/L\right)}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)}$$

$$\Rightarrow C/L = \frac{2\pi\epsilon_0}{\ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)}$$




Point of order: what would have happened if we had defined the *inner section* a charge density $-\lambda$ and the *outer shell* a charge density of $+\lambda$?

Gauss's Law would have yielded:

$$\int_s \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q_{\text{enclosed}}}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\vec{E}|(2\pi rL) = \frac{-\lambda L}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow E = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r} \Rightarrow \vec{E} \text{ is directed INWARD}$$




Remembering that dr is defined outward, if we track WITH the *electric field* to determine the *electrical potential difference* and, hence, V_{cap} , we'd have to track from the *outside shell* to *inside*, so:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{cap}} &= -\Delta V = + \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r} \\ &= \int_{r=b}^a \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r} \hat{r} \right) \cdot (dr \hat{r}) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{r=b}^a \frac{1}{r} dr \cos 180^\circ \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln(r) \Big|_{r=b}^a = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} [\ln(a) - \ln(b)] \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} [\ln(b) - \ln(a)] = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \end{aligned}$$

SAME RESULT!

Example 6: Derive an expression for the capacitance of *concentric spherical shells* of inside radius *a* and outside radius *b*.



- 1.) *Assume* charges (in this case, *Q*'s):
- 2.) *Noting that* all the charge will migrate to the inside surfaces, we don't really need Gauss's Law as we know from experience that the *E-field* for a charged sphere is:

$$E = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2}$$

3.) *Derive an expression* for the *electrical potential difference* (V_{cap}) between the plates:


$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{cap}} &= -\Delta V = + \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r} \\ &= \int_{r=a}^b \left(\frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \hat{r} \right) \cdot (dr \hat{r}) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{r=a}^b \frac{1}{r^2} dr \cos 0^\circ \\ &= \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(-\frac{1}{r} \right) \Big|_{r=a}^b = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{b} \right) - \left(-\frac{1}{a} \right) \right] = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{b-a}{ab} \right) \end{aligned}$$

4.) *Using the definition of capacitance:*


$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{parallel plate cap}} &= \frac{(Q_{\text{on one plate}})}{(V_{\text{across plates}})} \\ &= \frac{\cancel{Q}}{\frac{\cancel{Q}}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{b-a}{ab} \right)} \\ &= \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0(ab)}{(b-a)} \end{aligned}$$

It should go without saying, but I'll say it anyway. These **derivations** are **not here to memorize**. **UNDERSTAND THE APPROACH** so you can handle whatever comes down the pike!

Lab this week (courtesy of Mr. White)




Measuring voltage



Measuring current

The Electric Battery (courtesy of Mr. White)


1780s - Galvani vs. Volta



The Electric Battery (courtesy of Mr. White)

1780s - Galvani vs. Volta

Cloth or
paper soaked
in salt or acid.




How It Works (courtesy of Mr. White)

Two electrodes (carbon and zinc) are immersed in a dilute acid (the *electrolyte*).

As the acid dissolves the Zn, Zn^{2+} ions are drawn into the electrolytic solution leaving e^- s in the zinc.

Extra e^- s are pulled from the carbon rod to neutralize the now positively charged electrolyte, leaving positive charges (electron holes) on the carbon.

In this way, an **electrical potential difference** is created between the rod and the bottom plate of the battery.



Dielectrics

Consider the *charged, parallel-plate capacitor* shown to the right (complete with its *E-field*).


Placing an insulating material (called a *dielectric*) between the plates does a number of things.

1.) *The dielectric* experiences a *van der Waal effect* due to its presence in the electric field between the plates. This creates a *reverse electric field* that diminishes the net electric field across the plates (see sketch on next page).

2.) *With the net electric field* diminishing, the *net electrical potential* across the plates *goes DOWN*.

As $C=q/V$, a *diminishing of V* means the *capacitance goes UP*.

3.) *Conceptually*, placing a *dielectric* between the plates effectively *allows the plates to hold more charge per unit volt*. This is why the capacitance increases when a dielectric is placed internal to the cap.



Net effect: For the *charged, parallel-plate capacitor* shown to the right.


1.) *The capacitance* of a capacitor *with a dielectric* between its plates will equal:

$$C_{\text{with dielectric}} = \kappa C_{\text{without dielectric}},$$

where κ , sometimes characterized as ϵ_d , is the proportionality constant called the *dielectric constant*.

Note 1: This means there are *three ways* to increase a capacitor's value:

- 1.) increase the plate area.
- 2.) bring the plates closer together.
- 3.) place an insulating *dielectric* between the plates.



Example 8: Genesis of dielectric constant:


Derive an expression for the **capacitance** of a *parallel plate capacitor* of plate area A and distance between the plates d if it has between its plates an insulator.

1.) *The approach* is similar to the one used originally. Assume an **area charge densities** σ_{plate} for the plates.

2.) *All the plate charge* will still migrate to the inside surfaces, so a **Gaussian plug** will have one side in the region between the plates (in the dielectric) and one face inside the conductor where the *E-field* is zero.

3.) *Gauss's Law is still* $\int_S \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q_{\text{enclosed}}}{\epsilon_0}$, but there's a problem. The *charge enclosed* isn't solely the charge on the plates due to σ_{plate} (inside the Gaussian plug, I'll call this plate charge q). There is also charge *induced on the dielectric's surface* (a negative σ_{diel} --call the charge inside the Gaussian plug due to this q') due to the van der Waal effect. In other words,

$$q_{\text{enclosed}} = q - q'$$



Problem is, we don't want Gauss's *charge enclosed* to be in terms of some nebulous, *induced charge* quantity associated with the *insulating dielectric*. So what to do?


If we take the fraction of “*charge in the plug* to the *charge on the plate*” as the constant $\frac{1}{\kappa}$, we can write $\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{q - q'}{q}$ and Gauss's Law can be written in terms of the *charge on the plates* q as:

$$\int_s \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q - q'}{\epsilon_0} = \left(\frac{q - q'}{q} \right) \frac{q}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{q}{\epsilon_0}$$

That is where the *dielectric constant* comes from.

Bottom line: When dealing with a dielectric, you can assume the “charge enclosed” part of Gauss's Law is equal to the *charge on the cap plates* (ignoring the induced charge on the dielectric) if you include the insulator's dielectric constant in the Gauss's Law expression as:

$$\int_s \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q}{\kappa \epsilon_0}$$



So the parallel-plate capacitor derivation would look like:

$$\int_s \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{q}{\kappa\epsilon_0} \Rightarrow E \cancel{A} = \frac{\cancel{\sigma A}}{\kappa\epsilon_0} \Rightarrow E = \frac{\sigma}{\kappa\epsilon_0}$$

with $\sigma = \frac{Q}{A}$,

$$E = \frac{\sigma}{\kappa\epsilon_0} = \frac{(Q/A)}{\kappa\epsilon_0} = \frac{Q}{\kappa\epsilon_0 A}$$

That means: $V_{\text{cap}} = -\Delta V = + \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r}$


$$= \int_{r=0}^d \left(\frac{Q}{\kappa\epsilon_0 A} \hat{r} \right) \cdot (dr \hat{r}) = \frac{Q}{\kappa\epsilon_0 A} \int_{r=0}^d dr \cos 0^\circ$$

$$= \frac{Q}{\kappa\epsilon_0 A} (r) \Big|_{r=0}^d = \frac{Q}{\kappa\epsilon_0 A} d$$

and

$$C_{\text{parallel plate cap}} = \frac{(Q_{\text{on one plate}})}{(V_{\text{across plates}})} = \frac{\cancel{Q}}{\left(\frac{\cancel{Q}}{\kappa\epsilon_0 A} d \right)}$$

$$= \kappa\epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d} \quad (= \kappa C_{\text{w/o diel}})$$




Example 9: How would you deal with:

a.) A capacitor in which the dielectric extended only partially into the region between the plates?

If asked to derive it, you'd use Gauss's Law to determine the *E-field* in each region (the expressions will look identical with the exception of the κ terms with $\kappa = 1$ for air), then determine the *voltage change* between the plates by summing the *changes across the two regions*:

$$V_{\text{cap}} = -\Delta V_{\text{region 1}} - \Delta V_{\text{region 2}} = + \int_{r=0}^{d_1} \vec{E}_1 \cdot d\vec{r} + \int_{r=d_1}^{d_2} \vec{E}_2 \cdot d\vec{r}$$



If you were asked to just determine the net capacitance, you could just be clever. How so? What you are really looking at are two caps in series.

$$C_1 = \kappa_1 \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d_1} \quad C_2 = \kappa_2 \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{(d_2 - d_1)}$$


So we could write: $\frac{1}{C_{\text{eq}}} = \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2}$

b.) A capacitor in which the dielectric only partially fills in the region but does it from plate to plate?


In this case, you have two different electric fields across in different regions which makes it look like two different capacitor side by side, connected at both ends. By definition, this is a parallel combination.

As parallel caps add, a derivation would required you to determine the capacitance for each using standard means, then add the two. That is, execute:

$$V_{\text{cap}} = -\Delta V_{\text{region 1}} - \Delta V_{\text{region 2}} = + \int_{r=0}^{d_1} \vec{E}_1 \cdot d\vec{r} + \int_{r=d_1}^{d_2} \vec{E}_2 \cdot d\vec{r}$$



Back to being clever, though, you could use what you know about parallel cap:



And write:

$$C_{\text{eq}} = C_1 + C_2$$

Example 10: Consider a charged capacitor of capacitance C with a voltage V_{cap} across its plates.

a.) *Energy is stored* within the capacitor. How so? That is, **WHERE** is the stored energy stored?


The energy is stored in the **electric field** between the capacitor's plates.

b.) *How much* energy is stored?

The real question is, how much work was required to assemble the charges currently residing on the capacitor's plates?

Think about it. If there is already charge on the plates creating a voltage of V_{cap} , a differentially charge $+dq$ will need **work done on it**, and will experience a **change of potential energy**, as it is forced from **the low voltage plate** to **the high voltage plate**. Knowing the relationship between that differential bit of work, potential energy and electrical potential difference, so we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} dW &= -\Delta U = -(dq)\Delta V \\ &= +(dq)V_{\text{cap}} \end{aligned}$$




But according to our definition of capacitance:

$$C = \frac{q}{V_{\text{cap}}} \Rightarrow V_{\text{cap}} = \frac{q}{C}$$

so substituting that into our relationship yields:

$$\begin{aligned} dW &= (dq) V_{\text{cap}} \\ &= (dq) \left(\frac{q}{C} \right) \end{aligned}$$



The total work due to all the charge transferred in this way, then, is:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int dW \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \int_{q=0}^Q q \, dq = \frac{1}{C} \left(\frac{q^2}{2} \right) \Big|_{q=0}^Q \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q^2}{C} \quad \text{---} \quad \frac{1}{2} C V^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\downarrow$
 $Q^2 = C^2 V^2$

c.) So if an $8\ \mu\text{f}$ capacitor is charged to 250 volts:


i.) How much energy is stored in the capacitor?

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ f})(250 \text{ V})^2 \\ &= .25 \text{ J} \end{aligned}$$

i.) How much charge is stored in the capacitor?

This is slightly tricky. The net charge is zero. The charge on one plate is:


$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{q}{V} \\ \Rightarrow q &= CV \\ &= (8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ f})(250 \text{ V}) \\ &= 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ C} \quad (\text{or } 2 \text{ millicoulombs}) \end{aligned}$$



Demo 2

Parallel-Plate Capacitor (courtesy of Mr. White)

Physlet I.26.2



Dielectric Values

Material	Dielectric Constant (κ)	Dielectric Strength (V/m)
Vacuum	1.00000	-----
Air (dry)	1.00059	3e6
Paper	3.7	16e6
Water	80	-----

$$C = \frac{\epsilon_o A}{d}$$

$$C = \frac{\kappa \epsilon_o A}{d}$$

Advantages to using dielectrics in capacitors include:

- 1.increasing capacitance (!)
2. increasing the maximum operating voltage of the capacitor (most dielectrics have a greater breakdown strength than air does).
3. the dielectric itself provides a mechanical support between the plates.
4. allows the plates to be closer than would otherwise be the case.

Example 7 (courtesy of Mr. White)

A *parallel-plate capacitor* has plates 2.0cm x 3.0 cm, separated only by a 1.00mm thickness of paper.

a.) Find the device's capacitance (note that the *dielectric constant* for paper from the chart on the previous slide is 3.7).

$$\begin{aligned}C &= \kappa \epsilon_0 \frac{A}{d} \\&= (3.7)(8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ f / m}) \frac{(.02\text{m})(.03\text{m})}{(.001\text{m})} \\&= 1.97 \times 10^{-11} \text{ f} \quad (\text{this is } 19.7 \text{ pf})\end{aligned}$$

b.) What is the maximum charge that can be placed on the capacitor?

Note that the *dielectric strength* identifies the dielectric's breakdown voltage per meter of material. For paper, that is: $16 \times 10^6 \text{ V/m}$

At .001 meters, the breakdown voltage is: $V_{\text{max}} = (16 \times 10^6 \text{ V / m})(.001 \text{ m})$
 $= 1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ V}$

So:

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{\text{max}} &= CV_{\text{max}} \\&= (1.97 \times 10^{-11} \text{ f})(1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ V}) \\&= 3.15 \times 10^{-7} \text{ C} \quad (= .315 \mu\text{C})\end{aligned}$$

c.) *What is* the maximum energy that can be stored in this capacitor?

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1.97 \times 10^{-11} \text{ f}) (1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ V})^2 \\ &= 2.52 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J} \end{aligned}$$