

CHAPTER 30: Sources of Magnetic Fields

Cern's single-walled coil operates at 7600 amps and produces a 2.0 Tesla B-fld.

http://atlas-magnet.web.cern.ch/atlas-magnet/info/project/ATLAS_Magnet_Leaflet-ds.pdf



Photo and info courtesy of Mr. White

B-flds Produced by Charge in Motion

In 1820, Hans Christian Oersted, observed that a **compass** near a **current-carrying wire** will react. Conclusions: *B-flds* are **produced by charge in motion**, and *B-flds* **circle around current carrying wires**.

The sense of circulation can be deduced using what I call *the right-thumb rule*:

Grasp the wire with the **right hand** with the **thumb in the direction of current**. Your **fingers will curl** in the direction of the *B-fld*.






photo courtesy of Mr. White

from side



from above




Oersted (1820) (courtesy of Mr. White)

If the wire is grasped with the right hand, with the thumb in the direction of current flow, the fingers curl around the wire in the direction of the magnetic field.

The magnitude of B is the same everywhere on a circular path perpendicular to the wire and centered on it. Experiments reveal that B is proportional to I , and inversely proportional to the distance from the wire.


Obscure observation from Fletch:

Notice that if the *current-carrying wire* is straight and you draw a vector from any point on the wire to a point of interest, the direction of the magnetic field at that point will be perpendicular to the plane defined by that vector and the direction of the current (treated like a vector).



Example 1 (courtesy of Mr. White)

Predict the orientation of the compass needles.




Example 1

Determine the direction and magnitude of the magnetic field at “x” if the wire carries current “i” and “x” is “r” units from the wire.

x




Solution




Example 2

Determine the direction and magnitude of the magnetic field at “p” if the left wire carries current “i,” the right wire carries current “2i” the wires are “ $x/2$ ” units apart and “x” is “x” units from the 2i wire.



Where will the net magnetic field *be zero?*


Determine the direction and magnitude of the magnetic field at “x” if the left wire carries current “i,” the right wire carries current “2i” the wires are “x/2” units apart and “x” is “x” units from the wire.




Where will the net magnetic field be zero?

To the left of the “i” wire - the fields will be opposite in direction, and it’s farther from the 2i wire.

Determine the net magnetic field *at the origin*



Determine the net magnetic field **at the origin**



19.48 on class Website is a good one to practice with!

Solenoids


A long coil of wire with many loops (or turns) is called a **solenoid**.

The magnetic field inside the solenoid can be fairly large when current flows through the wire as each loop adds to the overall magnetic field strength.

The magnetic field within the solenoid is fairly uniform and runs in one direction, down the middle of the coils, resulting in the coil acting like a magnet with a north and south pole.

If a piece of iron is placed in the core of the loop, this becomes an **electromagnet**.


- Why iron? What happens?



The Law of Biot-Savart

Coulomb's Law observed that the *electric field* generated by a *point charge* was *proportional to the magnitude of the field producing charge* and *inversely proportional to the square of the distance from the field producing charge and the point of interest*.

Extending this, if you have an *extended charge*, the technique is to *determine the magnitude of the E-fld* for a *differentially small piece of the charge dq*, then *integrate* to get the net *electric field*.



$$\begin{aligned}
 |\vec{E}| &= \int dE = \int_{x=a}^{a+L} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{dq}{x^2} \\
 &= \int_{x=a}^{a+L} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{(\lambda dx)}{x^2} = \frac{(Q/L)}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{x=a}^{a+L} x^{-2} dx \\
 &= \frac{(Q/L)}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(-x^{-1} \Big|_{x=a}^{a+L} \right) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 L} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{a+L} \right) - \left(-\frac{1}{a} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 L} \left(\frac{(a+L) - a}{a(a+L)} \right) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{1}{a(a+L)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Biot-Savart does a similar thing for *magnetic fields*, with the exception that it incorporates the *direction of the B-field* into the calculation.

Specifically, it observes that the differential magnetic field dB at Point P due to the current in the differentially small section ds of wire is:

$$d\vec{B} = \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \right) I \frac{d\vec{s} \times \hat{r}}{r^2}$$

where:

I is the current in the wire

μ_o = permeability of free space
 $= 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ T} \cdot \text{m/A}$


ds is a section of current-carrying wire

$d\vec{s}$ is a vector in the direction of the current at ds

$\vec{r}$ is a vector from ds to the point of interest

$\hat{r}$ is a unit vector in the direction of $\vec{r}$

θ is the angle between $\hat{r}$ and $d\vec{s}$



Notice the cross product gives a direction that is perpendicular to the plane defined by $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{i}$ at ds as advertised earlier.

The Biot-Savart Law (courtesy of Mr. White)

$$d\vec{B} = k_m \frac{I d\vec{s} \times \hat{r}}{r^2}$$


$d\vec{B}$: magnetic field at a point P perpendicular to segment of wire ds & perpendicular to unit vector r from ds to P.
 k_m : steady current in direction ds
 I : determines direction of field
 $d\vec{s}$: element of wire
 $\hat{r}$: determines direction of field
 r^2 : element of wire

$$k_m = 10^{-7} \text{ T}\cdot\text{m/A}$$

$$k_m = \mu_o / 4\pi, \text{ where}$$


$$\mu_o = \text{permeability of free space}$$

$$\mu_o = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$$



Example 2: (problem courtesy of Mr. White):

Determine the magnitude and direction of the *B-field* at the point *O* in the diagram. (Current *I* flows from top to bottom, radius of curvature = *R*.)



To do this, you need to break the current paths into segments that either have the same *r* value or have a cross product that is zero.

Defining a differential length *ds* a the unit vector $\hat{r}_1$ in one of the sections that moves directly toward or away from the point (see sketch), the cross product that bit produces becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{dB}_1| &= \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \right) I \frac{|\vec{ds}_1 \times \hat{r}_1|}{(r_{\text{whatever}})^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \right) I \frac{ds_1 \sin 0^\circ}{(r_{\text{whatever}})^2} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

With no B -flds being generated at Point O due to the sections of wire that have current moving directly toward or away from the point, we turn to the only other section in the system:

Again, defining the differential length ds and the unit vector $\hat{r}_1$ for the curved sections, and noticing how ds is related to $d\theta$ (see insert), the cross product becomes:


$$|d\vec{B}_1| = \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \right) I \frac{|d\vec{s}_2 \times \hat{r}_2|}{(R)^2} = \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \right) I \frac{ds_2 \sin 90^\circ}{(R)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \int dB = \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi R^2} \right) I \int ds_2$$


$$= \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi R^2} \right) I \int_0^\theta R d\theta$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mu_o I}{4\pi R} \right) \theta$$

Also, crossing $d\vec{s}_2$ into $\hat{r}_2$ yields a direction *INTO the page*, which is exactly what the *right-thumb* rule would have given you!



How ds is related to R and $d\theta$.



Example 3: Derive an expression for the *B-fld* generated by an infinitely long, current-carrying wire a distance *a* units from the wire.


Start by defining *ds*, $\hat{r}$ and θ . Define *x*.

Note that $ds = dx$ and the general geometry. That is, $\sin \theta = \frac{a}{r}$ and $r = (x^2 + a^2)^{1/2}$

With that:

$$\begin{aligned} |d\vec{B}| &= \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \right) i \frac{ds \sin \theta}{r^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \right) i \frac{dx \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)}{r^2} = \left(\frac{\mu_o i a}{4\pi} \right) \frac{dx}{r^3} \end{aligned}$$

Double the sum of the differential *B-flds* from infinity to zero, we can write:



$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow B &= 2 \int |dB| \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{\mu_o i a}{4\pi} \right) \int_{x=\infty}^0 \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Solving:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= \int dB \\
 &= \cancel{2} \left(\frac{\mu_o ia}{\cancel{4}\pi} \right) \int_{x=\infty}^0 \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_o ia}{2\pi} \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{a^2} \frac{x}{(x^2 + a^2)^{1/2}} \right) \right] \Big|_{x=\infty}^0 \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_o ia}{2\pi} \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{\infty}{(\infty^2 + a^2)^{1/2}} \right) \right) - \left[\left(\frac{1}{a^2} \left(\frac{0}{(0^2 + a^2)^{1/2}} \right) \right) \right] \right] \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_o}{2\pi} \right) \frac{i}{a}
 \end{aligned}$$

*And the cross product $d\vec{s} \times \hat{r}$ yields a **B-fl**d direction **OUT OF the page** at the point.*


Magnetic Forces Between Wires

Example 4: Derive an expression for the magnitude and direction of force on a current-carrying wire bathed in the B -fld generated by a second current carrying wire a units away.

There is only one way to get the magnitude of the force, but there are **TWO** ways to get the direction. We'll do it all.


For the magnitude: The direction of the magnetic field due to the left-side wire can be determined using the *right-thumb rule* and is as shown. The magnitude of its B -fld is:

$$B_1 = \left(\frac{\mu_o}{2\pi} \right) \frac{i_1}{a}$$



graphic courtesy of Mr. White with slight modification

A more standard way to present a current coming *into* or *out of* the page;



Because the force relationship between a *current-carrying wire* and the *B-fld* the wire is bathed in is *known*, we can write:


$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{F}_2| &= i_2 |\vec{L} \times \vec{B}_1| \\ &= i_2 L \left(\frac{\mu_o i_1}{2\pi a} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now for the fun—finding the *direction of the force* on the right-hand wire: Start with the cross product.

$$\vec{F}_2 = i_2 \vec{L} \times \vec{B}_1$$


$\vec{L}$ is *out of the page* (in the direction of the right-hand wire's current), and we've *already determined* the *direction of the B-fld* due to the left-hand wire in that region (it's *downward* at the right-hand wire).

Executing $\vec{L} \times \vec{B}_1$ yields a *vector direction* to the *right, AWAY from* the *left wire*.




But there's a cooler way to do this which requires an interesting observation.

Consider two north poles juxtaposed against one another. You know from experience that these two magnets will *repulse one another*. Notice that the *direction of the magnetic field lines* generated by the two in this case are *parallelish*.




If, on the other hand, the poles are opposites, their magnetic field lines will be *anti-parallelish* and the two magnets will *attract one another*.



The rule: If the *magnetic field lines* between two field-producing objects are parallel to one another, the two objects will magnetically repulse one another. If they are anti-parallel, they will magnetically attract one another.

So going back to the direction of the force on the right-hand wire:

A quick determination (using the **right-thumb rule**) of the **direction of the B -flds** set up by the **two wires** in the region between the two wire shows that their **field lines** are *parallel* between one another . . . which means the two wires will *repulse one another*. That means the **force on the right-hand wire should be away from the left-hand wire**, as determined using the mathy approach.




As a preamble: The **circulation** of a **vector field** around a closed path is defined as the summing of the **dot products** of that vector with all the differential lengths of the path around the circuit.

So if you had an **electric field**, for instance, and a closed path (defined in red), and you did all the dot products around the path, the “**circulation of E**” would be:

$$\text{circulation} = \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

So in this case, the **dot product** gives you the **component of E along the line of dl**, times **dl**, summed over the entire closed path. And as some of these mini-dot products can be positive ($\vec{E}_2 \cdot d\vec{l}_2$) and some negative ($\vec{E}_1 \cdot d\vec{l}_1$), **circulations can be zero or non-zero**, depending upon the vector field involved.



Ampere's Law


(the magnetic counterpart to Gauss's Law)

Think back to what Gauss's Law did for you.


Gauss observed that if you have a net charge in a closed surface, the straight-arrow electric field lines generated by the charge would either exit or enter the surface (depending upon whether the charge was positive or negative), creating an *electric flux* through the surface. That *flux*, he reasoned, was *proportional to the charge enclosed*. By the time the dust settled, he had posited *Gauss's Law*, or:

$$\oint_S \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A} = \frac{q_{\text{enclosed}}}{\epsilon_0}$$

Choose a reasonably symmetric surface, and voila, you have a useful mathematical tool for deriving electric field functions.



imaginary Gaussian surface



Magnetic fields set up by current-carrying wires are not “straight arrow vectors,” they are fields that circle around wires. So Ampere reasoned that if he defined a closed path around a wire and determine the circulation of the field around that path (this is a mathematical operation consisting of dotting the B -fld into a differential path length $d\vec{l}$, then summing all those dot products around the closed path to get the “total circulation”), that sum would have to be proportional to the current passing through the face of the path. In other words,


$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} \propto i_{\text{thru the face}}$$

The proportionality constant that makes this into an equality is the permeability of free space, or μ_0 , making **Ampere’s Law**:

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mu_0 i_{\text{thru}}$$

Note: The book uses $d\vec{s}$ as their differential path length, but they also use $d\vec{s}$ for their differential section of current in Biot-Savart. Using the same symbol for two different situations seems confusing, so I prefer $d\vec{l}$ when dealing with *Amperian paths*.

Example 5: Assume a current-carrying wire produces a B -fld, and the wire is far enough away so that the field lines generated by the wire look as shown to the right. If a small circular path is defined as shown, what is the circulation of the field around the path?



If we define a differentially small section $d\vec{l}_1$ along the path, we can execute the mathematical operation $\vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_1$ and end up with a **positive dot product** (the angle between the two vectors is *less than 90°*).


Define a second differentially small section $d\vec{l}_2$ along the path and we get a **negative dot product** (the angle between the two vectors is *greater than 90°*).

Bottom line: The **net circulation will** have positive parts and negative parts, and will **ultimately sum to zero**.

But we should have seen this coming. There is **NO CURRENT PASSING THROUGH THE FACE OF THE PATH**, so **according to Ampere** there should be **NO NET CIRCULATION**.

Example 6: A current carrying wire has current directed out of the page as shown. For the dotted path shown, is the net circulation equal to $\mu_o i$?

YES, Ampere's Law always works (just like Gauss's Law always works, even when a geometry makes its integral impossible to solve).




The real question is whether using Ampere's Law is a reasonable thing to try to do in this case . . . and the answer to that question is **NO**!

Why? Look at the symmetry.

The **current through the face** is easy—it's just i , but the angle between $d\vec{l}_1$ and the B -fld evaluated at $d\vec{l}_1$ is different than the angle between $d\vec{l}_2$ and the B -fld evaluated at $d\vec{l}_2$. That's going to make the integral nasty.

Consider the problem exploiting symmetry:

$|\vec{B}|$ is the **same** at every point on the path, so:




$$\begin{aligned}\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} &= \mu_o i_{\text{thru}} \\ \Rightarrow B \oint dl \cos 0^\circ &= \mu_o i \\ \Rightarrow B(2\pi R) &= \mu_o i \Rightarrow B = \frac{\mu_o i}{2\pi R}\end{aligned}$$

BAM! The B -fld for a current-carrying wire.

Example 7: Consider an **infinite sheet of current** with the current **coming out of the page**. Assume you know the **current density j** (the amount of current-per-unit-length) in the sheet. What is the **net B -fld** generated by the current **R units away**?

To get a feel for the power of Ampere's Law, let's assume we are looking at an infinite series of wires. How might we **derive an expression** for the magnetic field **due to one current carrying wire**, then **extrapolate for the whole**?

Consider the **differential B -fld** generated by our **differential bit of current x units** from the system's **origin**.



From symmetry, though, a **second differential bit of current flow** produces the **additional field** shown below, with the **sum of the two fields** producing a **y-component that adds to zero**.


Additional geometry yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\tan \theta &= \frac{x}{R} \\ \Rightarrow x &= R \tan \theta \\ \Rightarrow dx &= R (\sec^2 \theta) d\theta\end{aligned}$$

$$\sec \theta = \frac{1}{\cos \theta}$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{R}{r}$$

$$\Rightarrow r = \frac{R}{\cos \theta} = R \sec \theta$$




$$\text{So: } dB_x = 2 \frac{\mu_0 (j dx)}{2\pi r} \cos \theta$$

$$dB_x = 2 \frac{\mu_0 j}{2\pi r} (dx) \cos \theta$$

$$= \frac{2\mu_0 j}{2\pi (R \sec \theta)} \left[(R \sec^2 \theta) d\theta \right] \left(\frac{1}{\sec \theta} \right)$$

Simplifying, then summing (integrating) from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = \pi/2$ radians, (we've already taken care of the other half in eliminating the y -component of the B -fld), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 dB_x &= \frac{\cancel{2}\mu_o j}{\cancel{2}\pi(\cancel{R} \cancel{\sec \theta})} \left[(\cancel{R} \cancel{\sec^2 \theta}) d\theta \right] \left(\frac{1}{\cancel{\sec \theta}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\mu_o j}{\pi} d\theta \\
 \Rightarrow B &= \frac{\mu_o j}{\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi/2} d\theta \\
 \Rightarrow B &= \frac{\mu_o j}{\pi} \theta \Big|_0^{\pi/2} \\
 \Rightarrow B &= \frac{\mu_o j}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \\
 \Rightarrow B &= \frac{\mu_o j}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$




Contrast this with Ampere's Law.

--*Note that B* is constant along the horizontal, in opposite directions on either side of the sheet.

--*For Ampere's Law*, we need a path along which the magnitude of $|\vec{B}|$ is either constant or such that the dot product of $\vec{B}$ and $d\vec{l}$ is zero (a rectangle will do the job).

--*The current* thru the Amperian face is $i = jL$, where j is the number of wire per unit length, so:



$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mu_o i_{\text{thru}}$$

$$\int_L \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_1 + \int_w \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_2 + \int_L \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_3 + \int_w \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_4 = \mu_o [(j)L]$$

$$B_y \int_L dl_1 \cos 0^\circ + B_y \int_w dl_2 \cos 90^\circ + B_y \int_L dl_3 \cos 0^\circ + B_y \int_w dl_4 \cos 90^\circ = \mu_o [(j)L]$$

$$\Rightarrow BL + 0 + BL + 0 = \mu_o [(j)L]$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \frac{\mu_o j}{2}$$

... a lot easier!

Example 8: Derive an expression for the B -fld inside an **N-turn toroid** (a coil with N winds that curves back on itself)

--Because the B -fld for a toroid circles along the toroid's axis, the *Amperian path* that is applicable here is a *circle of radius r* .

--Noting that N wires pass through the Amperian path, the **current through the face** is Ni and we can write:



$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mu_o i_{\text{thru}}$$

$$\Rightarrow B \oint dl \cos 0^\circ = \mu_o (Ni)$$

$$\Rightarrow B(2\pi r) = \mu_o (Ni)$$


$$\Rightarrow B = \frac{\mu_o Ni}{2\pi r}$$

--Notice that B varies with r .



Solenoids

A *solenoid*, also referred to as a *coil*, is exactly that. A long wire tightly coiled helically. They are typically characterized by the number of winds per unit length n .




--*Solenoids are typically* tightly wound, but an spread out version (courtesy of Mr. White) allows us to see their microstructure.

--*Between the winds* the fields add to zero;

--*Outside the winds* the field is weak and drops to zero fairly quickly;

--*Down the axis*, the field is intense;




Trickery

There is still
another right-hand rule

that can be used to determine
the direction of the magnetic
field due to current through a
coil. It's easy (and fun!).

Lay your right-hand on the
coil with your fingers pointing
in the direction of the current.

The direction your thumb points is the direction of the B -fld down the axis of the coil.




Example 9: Determine the B -fld down the axis of a current-carrying coil (a solenoid), where n is the number of turns per unit length in the coil (see cross-section).

We need a rectangular *Amperian path*. Why?

--The paths perpendicular to the coil will experience zero B -fld;

--The path outside the coil is far enough out so the B -fld is essentially zero;

--The path inside the coil experiences a non-zero B -fld.



The current through the face is:

$$i_{\text{thru}} = (nL)i$$

where nL is the number of wires thru the face. So:

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

$$= \mu_o i_{\text{thru}}$$

$$\int_{S_1} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_1 + \int_{S_2} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_2 + \int_{S_3} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_3 + \int_{S_4} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}_4 = \mu_o [(nL)i]$$

$$B_{\text{axis}} \int_L dl_1 \cos 0^\circ + \cancel{B_y \int_h dl_2} + \cancel{B_{\text{wayout}} \int_L dl_3} + \cancel{B_y \int_h dl_4} = \mu_o [(nL)i]$$

$$\Rightarrow B_{\text{axis}} \cancel{L} = \mu_o [(\cancel{nL})i]$$

$$\Rightarrow B_{\text{axis}} = \mu_o ni$$

Deciding When to Use Ampere's Law versus Biot Savart

Use Ampere's Law when:


- You can define a path upon which the magnitude of B is constant over the entire path (this will normally be a circular path); or
- You can define a combination of paths some of which will have a magnitude of B that is constant over the section(s), some will have B equal to zero over the section(s) and/or some will have the evaluation of $\vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l}$ equal to zero over the section(s) . . . (these multiple paths are usually rectangular).

Use Biot Savart when:


- You can't use Ampere's Law. (In other words, Ampere's Law should be your first choice.)

Electromagnetics

Because a coil sets up a magnetic field down its axis, as shown in the sketch, a tightly wound coil will act like a bar magnet in the sense that it will have one end that acts like a north pole and one end that acts like a south pole.




And because a ferromagnetic material (iron, steel, etc.) has within it magnetic domains that can align themselves to an external magnetic field, it is possible to make a very strong *electromagnet* by slipping a piece of iron (for instance) down the axis of a coil, then sending a current through the coil. That, in fact, is how electromagnets are made.



Subtleties—Magnetic Flux


In the section on Gauss's Law, we started the discussion by *defined electric flux* in general *through any simple surface* as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_E &= \vec{E} \cdot \vec{A} \\ &= EA \cos \theta\end{aligned}$$




From this, Gauss extended the idea to how charge *inside a closed surface* was/is *proportional* to the *electric flux* through the surface, and Gauss's Law was born as:

$$\Phi_E = \oint_S \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A} = \frac{q_{\text{encl}}}{\epsilon_0}$$



At the time all of this was introduced, it was pointed out that the *idea of flux* can be applied to *any vector field*, which means we can *define magnetic flux* as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_B &= \vec{B} \cdot \vec{A} \\ &= BA \cos \theta\end{aligned}$$




Because magnetic field lines either circle current-carrying wires or are generated in pairs (a north and south pole together), the magnetic flux through a closed surface will always equal zero. In other words:

$$\oint_s \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{A} = 0$$

Although we will do a quick flux problem next, the full significance of magnetic flux won't become evident until we get into *induction* and *Faraday's Law*.

Example 10: Derive an expression for the *magnetic flux* through the face of the *rectangular path* shown *due to the B-fl**d* set up by the *current-carrying wire* (a very cool, classic problem).

The difficulty here is in the fact that the *B-fl**d* from the current carrying wire *isn't constant* over the face of the area, as $\mathbf{B}_{\text{wire}} = \left(\frac{\mu_o}{2\pi} \right) \frac{\mathbf{i}}{x}$ shows.



We have to determine the *differential magnetic flux* $d\Phi_B$ through a *differentially small surface area* $b(dx)$ where the *B-fl**d* is evaluated constant, then sum all those $d\Phi_B$'s over the entire face. **Starting:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\Phi_B &= \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{A} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_o i}{2\pi x} \right) (b dx) \cos 0^\circ \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_o i b}{2\pi} \right) \frac{dx}{x}
 \end{aligned}
 \Rightarrow
 \begin{aligned}
 \Phi_B &= \int d\Phi_B \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_o i b}{2\pi} \right) \int_{x=c}^{c+a} \frac{dx}{x} = \left(\frac{\mu_o i b}{2\pi} \right) \ln x \Big|_{x=c}^{c+a} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_o i b}{2\pi} \right) [\ln(c+a) - \ln c] = \left(\frac{\mu_o i b}{2\pi} \right) \left[\ln \left(\frac{c+a}{c} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

A Little Problem with Ampere's Law

As stated, Ampere's Law maintains that the *circulation of B -fld* around a *closed path* is *proportional to the current that passes through the face* of the path. Faraday noticed a bit of a twist to the situation that adds an unexpected wrinkle.


Consider the current carrying wire that leads to a *capacitor* as shown to the right. Notice also the path defined for use with Ampere's Law.

With the "face" of the path *shown as green*, the *current through the "face"* is the *conventional current i_c* in the wire and we can write:

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mu_o i_{\text{thru}}$$

$$\Rightarrow B(2\pi r) = \mu_o i_c$$


$$\Rightarrow B = \frac{\mu_o i_c}{2\pi r}$$



What Faraday noticed was that we don't need to define the “face” of the path as previously shown but, rather, could identify it alternately as a **billowing surface** (the green) whose boundary is edged by the Amperian path.

In that scenario, the path would still look like that shown in the sketch to the right.

The complication this generates is that we have an Amperian path—the same as before—and we have a surface we are associating with its “face,” but because the face passes between the plates of a capacitor, and because current does not pass through a capacitor, there is **no actual current** through the face of our Amperian path. Yet common sense suggests that the magnetic field we determine using Ampere's Law in the first setting shouldn't disappear simply because we are using a different face, so **how do we reconcile the problem?**



Faraday's solution was to claim that Ampere's Law **only works in the form** you've seen **if** the *electric field* producing the current **is constant**. If the *electric field* is **changing with time**, an **alternate version** of Ampere's Law **must** be used. That is where *the displacement current* comes in.


The displacement current is **defined** as:

$$I_d = \epsilon_o \frac{d\Phi_E}{dt}$$

Does this make sense?


To see we need to look at **Gauss's Law**, and to do that we need to **close** the original circular **face of the path** to **create a closed surface**. Having executed that operation, Gauss's Law maintains that the *net flux* **through that closed surface** will be:

$$\Phi_E = \frac{q_{\text{encl}}}{\epsilon_o} \Rightarrow q_{\text{encl}} = \epsilon_o \Phi_E$$



So $q_{\text{encl}} = \epsilon_0 \Phi_E$. But in this case, q_{encl} is the *charge on one plate of the capacitor*. If we calculate the *rate at which that charge is changing* (the rate at which the capacitor is charging), we get the *current in the circuit*. In other words:

$$\begin{aligned} i_c &= \frac{dq_{\text{cap}}}{dt} \\ &= \frac{d(\epsilon_0 \Phi_E)}{dt} \\ &= \epsilon_0 \frac{d\Phi_E}{dt} \end{aligned}$$



Caps don't have charge move through them, but the electrostatic repulsion between their plates creates the illusion that current is flowing through the cap. Faraday, apparently, deduced that that virtual current (my words, not his) was the *displacement current* needed for Ampere's Law to work. In any case, the *complete* form of Ampere's Law is:

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mu_0 i_{\text{thru}} + \mu_0 \left(\epsilon_0 \frac{d\Phi_E}{dt} \right)$$

where it's **YOUR CHOICE** which term *on the right* you evaluate, depending upon the circumstances.